



# EURELECTRIC's, GEODE's, EDSO's and CEDEC's experience of ENTSO-E's stakeholder consultation process

**European Stakeholder Committees**  
Brussels, December 2017

# Identified challenges with ENTSO-E's Consultation Process

Reflecting on collection of 12 recent cases of consultations/workshops, EURELECTRIC has established a synopsis of perceived trends

Stakeholders have limited forward visibility of consultation activities

Consultation timeframes and notification periods are insufficient

Consultation material is capable of improvement

Can ENTSO-E's own consultation objectives be achieved?

# Stakeholders have limited forward visibility of consultation activities

## 2.2 of ENTSO-E consultation process:

- Publish a calendar log of on-going and planned consultation processes aligned to the work program on the website

- No such calendar log exists
- ENTSO-E consultation hub only displays ongoing and closed consultation, therefore provides no forward visibility.
- EURELECTRIC secretariat has requested forward visibility of consultation activities and made suggestions on how to improve plannability of consultation process for stakeholders.

13B

5A

# Consultation timeframes and notification periods are insufficient

## 2.2 of ENTSO-E consultation process:

- In addition to providing as soon as possible prior notice [...], allow the consulted parties adequate time to respond taking account of the complexity of the issue and any deadlines set.
- For workshops, at least, four weeks' notice will be given.
- For formal consultations, ENTSO-E shall strive to allow for a 2-month consultation period.

- Stakeholders seldom notified of upcoming consultation periods beyond the annual work programme
- Insufficient notification periods
  - Case #1 (IGD consultation) -1 day notice
  - Case #3 (Frequency parameter survey) 0 days notice
  - Case #4 (Frequency parameter workshop) 9 days notice
  - Case #10 (CGMM consultation) not notified at all
- We have never experienced a 2-month long consultation period

# Consultation material is capable of improvement

2.4 of ENTSO-E consultation process:

- Publish clear, concise and reasoned documents that are easy to read, including, where appropriate “Frequently Asked Questions” type documents.

- KORRR consultation documents which are legally troublesome
- Consistency issues with other documents

12A/B

# Can ENTSO-E's own consultation objectives be achieved?

2.1 of ENTSO-E consultation process:

- Getting expert input and views on ENTSO-E draft proposals;
- Creating buy-in for ENTSO-E final proposals;
- Providing openness and transparency [...].

- **Visibility**
- **Notice**
- **Period**
- **Overlap**
- **Consistency**
- **Thoughtful  
Consideration**



# Thank you for your attention

Contact:

Marc Malbrancke: [marc.malbrancke@cedec.com](mailto:marc.malbrancke@cedec.com)

Florian Gonzalez: [florian.gonzalez@edsoforsmartgrids.eu](mailto:florian.gonzalez@edsoforsmartgrids.eu)

Sanni Aumala: [saumala@eurelectric.org](mailto:saumala@eurelectric.org)

Carmen Gimeno: [cgimeno@geode-eu.org](mailto:cgimeno@geode-eu.org)