

ISSUE LOGGER – follow-up on questions from previous meeting

SO ESC
15 December 2017

Definition and interpretation of “existing/new SGUs” and the requirements they should comply with across the CNC and the SOGL

Previous answer:

CNCs define the technical capabilities of the facilities once the codes have entered into force while the SOGL defines the use of those capabilities once they are connected to the network. ENTSO-E's understanding is that, in general, no additional technical capability is requested from facilities by SO GL, and the only place where a technical capability may be requested is the exchange of real-time data. ENTSO-E's recommendation on the interpretation is to use a similar approach as voltage control: facilities should declare their technical capabilities and then comply with the SOGL according to those technical capabilities.

In the last SO ESC we were asked:

- to confirm whether the ENTSO-E's answer means that for existing installations having real-time data exchange capabilities, they should declare if they are able or not to exchange real-time data, and if they don't have the possibility to exchange, then they do not have to. A clear yes or no regarding the need for new supplementary requirements for existing installations with their existing capabilities.
- to clarify whether it means that if the communication is not established, then it will not be required to be established.

Definition and interpretation of “existing/new SGUs” and the requirements they should comply with across the CNC and the SOGL

The updated answer:

- The CNCs define the required technical capabilities of the facilities while the SOGL defines the use of those capabilities once they are connected to the network - the requirements are to declare the capabilities and then comply with the SOGL according to those technical capabilities, SOGL does not request additional technical capabilities to existing installations.
- In case real-time data exchange capability is required from existing installations that do not have the capability yet, then the existing facilities have to declare that they don't have the real-time capability in justified way. It is to be noted that a communication line is not a capability of a SGU, and therefore cannot be part of the justification.
- Existing installations having real-time data exchange capabilities, cannot declare that the installations do not have the capabilities.

Is a reference to Art.17 (GLDPM) in the FCA missing and only CACM and SOGL should be taken into account?

Previous answer:

GLDPM according to CACM shall be taken into account and GLDPM according to FCA may be taken into account. However, the fact that FCA is not explicitly mentioned does not prevent methodologies from taking it into account.

In the last SO ESC we were asked:

- to give a clear Yes or No to the question.

The updated answer:

Exchange of structural information in the KORRR has been developed considering timeframes of GLDPM developed according to CACM, timeframes of GLDPM updated according to FCA are not addressed in the KORRR.