



EURELECTRIC's, GEODE's, EDSO's and CEDEC's experience of ENTSO-E's stakeholder consultation process

European Stakeholder Committees
Brussels, December 2017

Identified challenges with ENTSO-E's Consultation Process

Reflecting on collection of 12 recent cases of consultations/workshops, EURELECTRIC has established a synopsis of perceived trends

Stakeholders have limited forward visibility of consultation activities

Consultation timeframes and notification periods are insufficient

Consultation material is capable of improvement

Can ENTSO-E's own consultation objectives be achieved?

Stakeholders have limited forward visibility of consultation activities

2.2 of ENTSO-E consultation process:

- Publish a calendar log of on-going and planned consultation processes aligned to the work program on the website

- No such calendar log exists
- ENTSO-E consultation hub only displays ongoing and closed consultation, therefore provides no forward visibility.
- EURELECTRIC secretariat has requested forward visibility of consultation activities and made suggestions on how to improve plannability of consultation process for stakeholders.

13B

5A

Consultation timeframes and notification periods are insufficient

2.2 of ENTSO-E consultation process:

- In addition to providing as soon as possible prior notice [...], allow the consulted parties adequate time to respond taking account of the complexity of the issue and any deadlines set.
- For workshops, at least, four weeks' notice will be given.
- For formal consultations, ENTSO-E shall strive to allow for a 2-month consultation period.

- Stakeholders seldom notified of upcoming consultation periods beyond the annual work programme
- Insufficient notification periods
 - Case #1 (IGD consultation) -1 day notice
 - Case #3 (Frequency parameter survey) 0 days notice
 - Case #4 (Frequency parameter workshop) 9 days notice
 - Case #10 (CGMM consultation) not notified at all
- We have never experienced a 2-month long consultation period

Consultation material is capable of improvement

2.4 of ENTSO-E consultation process:

- Publish clear, concise and reasoned documents that are easy to read, including, where appropriate “Frequently Asked Questions” type documents.

- KORRR consultation documents which are legally troublesome
- Consistency issues with other documents

12A/B

Can ENTSO-E's own consultation objectives be achieved?

2.1 of ENTSO-E consultation process:

- Getting expert input and views on ENTSO-E draft proposals;
- Creating buy-in for ENTSO-E final proposals;
- Providing openness and transparency [...].

- **Visibility**
- **Notice**
- **Period**
- **Overlap**
- **Consistency**
- **Thoughtful**
- **Consideration**



Thank you for your attention

Contact:

Marc Malbrancke: marc.malbrancke@cedec.com

Florian Gonzalez: florian.gonzalez@edsoforsmartgrids.eu

Sanni Aumala: saumala@eurelectric.org

Carmen Gimeno: cgimeno@geode-eu.org