


**ACER**

 Agency for the Cooperation  
of Energy Regulators

# **Data request under Art. 82.4 of CACM**

## **State of Play**

- **Article 82.4:**

*“The Agency, in cooperation with ENTSO for Electricity, shall draw up by six months after the entry into force of this Regulation a list of the relevant information to be communicated by ENTSO for Electricity to the Agency in accordance with Articles 8(9) and 9(1) of Regulation (EC) No 714/2009. The list of relevant information may be subject to updates. ENTSO for Electricity shall maintain a comprehensive, standardised format, digital data archive of the information required by the Agency.”*

- **On 2 February, ACER sent a letter to ENTSO-E with the list, including all information items the Agency deemed necessary to perform its duties**

# Summary of ENTSO-E's answers

ENTSO-E's answer	Data category	Number of items
<b>Accepted</b>		
Will be provided through improving the TP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Internal Redispatching (confirmation pending), countertrading (per MTU)</li> <li>Split of CB schedules into LT, DA and ID</li> <li>Wind and solar forecasts in 3 different points in time (D-1, D, final)</li> <li>Qualitative indicators on Applied capacity calculation methods</li> </ul>	4
Will be provided (one value per year)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Loss factors and network losses (on borders where this is applied)</li> </ul>	4
<b>Still unclear status</b>		
Will not be provided as the related improvement in the TP was not taken on board	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compulsory provision of D-1 NTC</li> <li>Compulsory provision of EIC codes for generation units in the TP</li> </ul>	2
Will not be provided by ENTSO-E, and ACER should continue to collect this from NRAs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Curtailments</li> </ul>	6
ACER should look for alternatives and its feasibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All indicators referring to Power flows that do not result from the capacity allocation mechanism</li> <li>All indicators referring to Utilisation of the network (e.g. use of critical branches)</li> </ul>	6
TSOs cannot commit to provide these data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All indicators referring to Capacity calculation process and results</li> <li>Information on EIC codes of RES plants above a certain MW capacity threshold.</li> </ul>	30
<b>TOTAL</b>		8 accepted 44 with unclear status

- **ACER's views**
  - **A significant amount of time has been invested in this issue with limited progress (deadlock?)**
  - **Improvements of TP are welcomed but it will address only a (very) small part of our problem**
  - **Collecting data through NRAs is inefficient (→ a 3 layer exercise (ACER-NRAS-TSOs), which involves 2x28+1 institutions) and has no legal basis**
    - **The fact that future regional entities (e.g. coordinated capacity calculators) will soon become the main data sources reinforces the inefficiency of the NRAs' channel**
  - **Most of the requested information will be needed by ENTSO-E for its own monitoring duties**
  - **The fact that some methodologies are not yet developed cannot be an excuse for not committing to provide this data and for not preparing the grounds for a proper collection process**

## Next steps

- Request to the EC to clarify what CACM can deliver
- Alternative path to collect this data: through the TP? the Winter Package? An Agency's recommendation on capacity calculation methodology and cost-sharing methodology? other?