

CBA Methodology

Major issue for all Grid Connection Codes

EURELECTRIC's proposals
in favour of a dedicated Expert Group

ENTSO-E Workshop
(2nd March 2017, Brussels)

Outline

1. CBA methodology is needed
2. A need to go beyond the IGD improvement?
3. Clear ENTSO-E's position requested

Quick reminder:

1. CBA methodology is needed

- **According to the Codes, CBA is mandatory in case of:**
 - Request for a derogation from DSOs, TSOs or Generators
 - Extension of the scope of application to existing units
- **Hence:**
 - The consequences of the result of a CBA can be enormous
 - All stakeholders are concerned
- **Therefore the CBA methodology must be reliable**

1. CBA methodology is needed:

Why we need further methodological principles to be agreed at EU level

- To ensure **CBAs are properly carried out**
- To ensure **a level-playing field**
- To ensure **legal certainty and enforceability** (results supported by all)
- To ensure **fair calculation of benefit** related to probability of occurrence.

2. A need to go beyond IGD improvement?

Our main request

MS and NRAs need to share a **common guidance/principles** before the effective application of the RfG.

- (i.e. 3 years after entry into force)
- The tasks assigned to the requested **EG can / should be disconnected from the IGD improvement** both in terms of deliverable and timing
 - if it is to be treated within the IGD, there is no need for a rush or a very constrained timeframe as ENTSO-E suggested, because IGD will still be regularly improved in the future.

2. A need to go beyond IGD improvement?

A request about existing IGD

- The existing IGD **must not contain incomplete and misleading examples** of “best practice”.
- **Therefore:**
 - 1) **Misleading examples need to be removed** and the IGD itself should be strictly statement of what CBA requirements are included in the Codes, or
 - 2) **Detailed documents and various best practices must be provided by the EG** to support the varying conditions and situations of MS

3. Clear ENTSO-E's position requested

- We recognize that this topic is quite complex and will need the involvement of experts (with regulated and other skills) on the subject.
- Work needs to **start soon** to be ready in time for the implementation.

Consequently we do request, that
ENTSO-E expresses clearly its view about launching the EG or not.

(if 'not', stakeholders will ask ACER to create this group within ESC structure)